arXiv:1609.05792v1 [cs.DM] 19 Sep 2016

A Variation on Chip-Firing: the diffusion game

C. Duffy*, T.F. Lidbetter†, M.E. Messinger‡, R.J. Nowakowski §

September 20, 2016

Abstract

We introduce a natural variant of the parallel chip-firing game, called the diffusion game. Chips are initially assigned to vertices of a graph. At every step, all vertices simultaneously send one chip to each neighbour with fewer chips. Although the parallel chip-firing game is inherently periodic as the dynamics occur on a finite set, the diffusion game is not obviously periodic: even if $2|E(G)|$ chips are assigned to vertices of graph G, there may exist time steps where some vertices have a negative number of chips. We investigate the process and prove periodicity for a number of graph classes as well as pose some questions.

Related Problems: Chip-Firing, Pebbling, Parallel Cleaning, Abelian Sandpile Model.

1 Introduction

Each vertex of a graph is assigned a finite integral number of chips. The chips are then redistributed via a stepwise parallel process where at each step all vertices simultaneously send one chip to each neighbour with fewer chips. If the chips are thought of as molecules, then this process models *diffusion*: the movement of molecules from regions of high concentration to regions of low concentration. As a result, the process described above is termed the *diffusion game*. A natural first question is whether the process is necessarily periodic.

The diffusion game is akin to the *Discharging Method* [3], wherein a set of charges, rather than chips, are assigned to vertices and then moved around the graph according to a set of rules. The Discharging Method is a technique best known for its use in the proof of the famous *Four Colour Theorem*, but has been used in graph theoretic

*C. Duffy acknowledges support from AARMS
†T.F. Lidbetter acknowledges support from NSERC (USRA 2015)
‡M.E. Messinger acknowledges support from NSERC (356119-2011, DDG-2016-00017)
§R.J. Nowakowski acknowledges support from NSERC (4139-2014)

proofs for over a century. The diffusion game is also a natural variation of the well-studied *Chip-Firing Game*. Chip-firing games on graphs provide a curious area of study for both their mathematical connections and their applications in modelling physical phenomena. Applications include modelling traffic patterns, the Tutte polynomial, critical groups of graphs, stochastic processes and graph cleaning (see [5],[6],[7],[12]). In the (sequential) chip-firing game (see [2]), every vertex in a graph is initially assigned a non-negative integral number of chips. At each step, one vertex with at least degree-many chips is "fired"; whereupon it sends one chip to each of its neighbours. This firing of vertices continues as long as there is a vertex with at least degree-many chips. The parallel chip-firing game has been studied in [1, 8, 11]: at each step, *every* vertex with at least degree-many chips is fired simultaneously. It is easy to see that in both the parallel chip-firing game and the diffusion game that the process is completely determined by the initial distribution of chips. Hence, the term "game" is a slight misnomer; however we refer to this new variation as the diffusion "game" because of this close relationship to the parallel chip-firing game. Since the total number of chips, N, remains constant, the dynamics for parallel chip-firing on a graph G occur in the finite set $\{0, 1, \ldots, N\}^{|V(G)|}$ and so parallel chip-firing games eventually exhibit periodic behaviour. A number of papers have studied the periodicity in parallel chip-firing and results are known about the periods of trees, cycles, complete graphs, and complete bipartite graphs (see [1, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11]). It is important to note that although [1] conjectured that on a graph G, any chip configuration is eventually periodic with period at most $|V(G)|$, [8] provided an example of a graph with period exponential in $|V(G)|$.

We now formally define the diffusion game. Let G be a graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. A chip configuration on G is a vector $c_t = (c_t(v_1), c_t(v_2), \ldots, c_t(v_n)) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $c_0(v_i)$ denotes the number of chips at vertex v_i in the initial configuration and $c_t(v_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the number of chips on vertex v_i at step t. We define the parallel dynamics as

$$c_{t+1}(v_i) = c_t(v_i) - \Big|\{v_j \in N(v_i) : c_t(v_i) > c_t(v_j)\}\Big| + \Big|\{v_j \in N(v_i) : c_t(v_i) < c_t(v_j)\}\Big|. \quad (1)$$

We refer to this dynamic as *firing*. We note that Equation (1) is applied synchronously on $V(G)$. It is important to observe that $\sum_{v\in V(G)} c_0(v) = \sum_{v\in V(G)} c_i(v)$ for all $i \geq 0$. We further observe that the dynamics are unchanged if an additional constant number of chips is added to each vertex. As such we may assume without loss of generality that $\sum_{v\in V(G)} c_i(v) = N \geq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

For a pair of vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $c_{k-1}(u) > c_{k-1}(v)$ we say that *in the k^{th} firing u sends a chip to v* and *v receives a chip from v*. When a chip configuration has negative entries the analogy of the process as a redistribution of chips on a graph no longer holds. In this the case we may consider a chip configuration to be a function that assigns an integer value to each vertex. Alternatively we may consider entries of the chip configuration to model charge as in [3]. The application of the parallel dynamics in Equation 1 does not depend on the interpretation of chips as physical objects. However, if the parallel dynamics necessarily lead to a periodic sequence of chip configurations,

we may add a constant so that all $c_i(v) \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$ and all $i \geq 0$ to restore the analogy. It is unknown, however, if the parallel dynamics always lead to a periodic process.



Figure 1: An example of the diffusion game.

As an example, consider the graph P_4 shown in Figure 1. The initial configuration of chips $c_0 = (0, 2, 1, 0)$ is given in the top row of Figure 1; in particular, $c_0(v_1) = 0$, $c_0(v_2) = 2$, $c_0(v_3) = 1$, $c_0(v_4) = 0$. In the first firing, v_2 sends a chip to each of v_1, v_3 and v_3 sends a chip to v_4. The resulting configuration at the end of step t is $c_1 = (1, 0, 1, 1)$ and is given in the second row in Figure 1. In the second firing, v_1 and v_3 each send a chip to v_2 and the resulting configuration is $c_2 = (0, 2, 0, 1)$. In the third firing, v_2 and v_4 each send a chip to v_3 and v_2 sends a chip to v_1 and the resulting configuration is $c_3 = (1, 0, 2, 0)$. Observe that $c_4 = c_2$. Thus, with initial configuration $c_0 = (0, 2, 1, 0)$, the chip configurations on P_4 become periodic with period 2.

As a second example, consider the graph shown in Figure 2. For the initial chip configuration, each vertex is assigned degree-many chips. In the first firing, the vertices of degree 7 send one chip to each neighbouring leaf. So at the end of step 1, the vertices of degree 7 each have 3 chips and the leaves each have 2 chips. In the second firing, the vertices of degree 7 each send one chip to each neighbouring leaf. Then at the end of step 2, the vertices of degree 7 each have -1 chips and the leaves each have 3 chips. (In the third firing, the leaves each send a chip to the degree 7 vertices and the process then becomes periodic with period 2). Thus, even with using a total of $2|E(G)|$ chips in the initial configuration, vertices may have a negative number of chips in some steps. This is very different behaviour from the parallel chip-firing game, where at each step, every vertex has at least 0 chips and thus, the dynamics occur on a finite set and ensure periodicity. These observations lead to several interesting questions.

Question 1 *Let G be a finite graph with an initial configuration. Do the chip configurations on G eventually exhibit periodic behaviour?*



Figure 2: An example of a chip configuration leading to vertices with negative chips.

Question 2 *Given a finite graph G, what is the fewest total number of chips required in an initial configuration so that at no step will a vertex ever have a negative number of chips?*

We observe that if $c_{t-1} = c_t$ for any $t \geq 1$, then all vertices have the same number of chips (and no chips moved during the t^{th} firing). Such situations can be thought of as steady-state configurations or stable configurations. We refer to such configurations as *periodic with period* 1. The configurations provided for the graphs in Figures 1 and 2 are both eventually periodic with period 2. We conjecture as to the length of the period next.

Conjecture 1 *Let G be a finite graph with an initial configuration. The chip configurations on G eventually exhibit periodic behaviour with period 1 or 2.*

Simulation of the process on a 50×100 Cartesian grid where each vertex had a random initial value of between 1 and 200 chips gave a process that was eventually periodic with period 2 in each of 200 simulations.

In Section 2, we partially answer Question 1 affirmatively for particular families of graphs. In Section 3, we consider some natural initial distributions of chips to characterize graphs whose chip configurations will eventually be periodic with period 2. Finally, in Section 4, we conclude with a brief exploration of some of the open questions that remain.

2 Finite period

In this section, we affirm Question 1 for some for some well-known classes of graphs. To do this, we show that the values for $c_t = (c_t(v_1), c_t(v_2), \ldots, c_t(v_n))$ are in a finite set. In other words, we show for every integer $t \geq 0$, the number of chips on any vertex in such graphs is always in a finite interval. As there will be a finite number of distinct configurations in the process and c_{t+1} is determined by c_t, and as the diffusion game continues indefinitely, there must be a finite period of configurations. This approach will be used for paths, cycles, wheels, complete graphs, and complete bipartite graphs. We begin with a few definitions and preliminary results in Section 2.1.

2.1 Definitions and Preliminary Results

Let G be a graph. We say that $v \in V(G)$ is *bounded above* if $\exists\ M \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every integer $t \geq 0$, $c_t(v) \leq M$. Similarly, v is *bounded below* if $\exists\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every integer $t \geq 0$, $c_t(v) \geq m$. If v is bounded both above and below, then v is *bounded*; otherwise v is *unbounded*.

Lemma 1 *Let G be a graph with an initial configuration. If every vertex in G is bounded above, then the chip configurations eventually exhibit periodic behaviour.*

Proof: Let G be a graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, with an initial configuration of N chips, and let M be a constant such that $\forall\ t \geq 0$, $c_t(v_i) \leq M$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$. Since no vertex can have more than M chips at any step, and the number of chips remains constant at each step, the fewest number of chips that a vertex can have at any step is $N-(n-1)M$. So $c_t(v_i) \in \{N-(n-1)M, N-(n-1)M+1, \ldots, M\}$, thus the values for $c_t = (c_t(v_1), c_t(v_2), \ldots, c_t(v_n))$ are in a finite set. It follows directly that the chip configurations will eventually be periodic. ∎

In fact, the chip configurations will eventually be periodic if there is a bound on the chip difference between every pair of adjacent vertices. We use the notation $u \sim v$ to indicate that vertices u and v are adjacent.

Lemma 2 *Let G be a graph with an initial configuration. If there exists a constant M such that $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \leq M$ for all $u \sim v$ and all $t \geq 0$, then the chip configurations will eventually exhibit periodic behaviour.*

Proof: Let G be a graph with an initial chip configuration and let $|V(G)| = n$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\sum_{v \in V(G)} c_0(v) = N \geq 0$ and recall that the total number of chips, N, does not change when the parallel dynamics are applied. For all $t \geq 0$, let

$$MAX_t = \max_{v \in V(G)} c_t(v) \text{ and } MIN_t = \min_{v \in V(G)} c_t(v).$$

Then

$$MIN_t \cdot n \leq N \leq MAX_t \cdot n \implies MIN_t \leq \frac{N}{n} \leq MAX_t. \tag{2}$$

Let M be a constant such that $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \leq M$ for all $u \sim v$ and $t \geq 0$. Let $diam(G)$ denote the diameter of G. Then for all $t \geq 0$,

$$MAX_t - MIN_t \leq diam(G) \cdot M. \tag{3}$$

By (2) and (3), we find

$$\frac{N}{n} \leq MAX_t \leq diam(G) \cdot M + MIN_t \leq diam(G) \cdot M + \frac{N}{n}$$

and

$$\frac{N}{n} - diam(G) \cdot M \leq MAX_t - diam(G) \cdot M \leq MIN_t \leq \frac{N}{n}.$$

It then follows that $|c_t(v)| \leq \max\{|diam(G) \cdot M + \frac{N}{n}|, |\frac{N}{n} - diam(G) \cdot M|\}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and for all v. The result now follows from Lemma 1. ∎

We next prove a useful implication of having an unbounded vertex.

Lemma 3 *Let G be a graph with an initial chip configuration. If v is unbounded, then $\exists\ u \in N(v)$ that is also unbounded.*

Proof: Let G be a graph with an initial chip configuration. Suppose $v \in V(G)$ is unbounded and, for a contradiction, assume all vertices in $N(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots v_{\deg(v)}\}$ are bounded. Then for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \deg(v)\}$, there exists a constant N_i such that v_i is bounded above by N_i.

Let $N_m = \max\{N_1, N_2, \ldots N_{\deg(v)}\}$. If $c_t(v) > N_m$ for some $t \geq 0$, then $c_{t+1}(v) = c_t(v) - \deg(v) < c_t(v)$. If $c_t(v) \leq N_m$ for some $t \geq 0$, then $c_{t+1}(v) \leq N_m - 1 + \deg(v)$. Thus, the number of chips at v cannot exceed $\max\{c_1(v), N_m - 1 + \deg(v)\}$. Therefore if v is unbounded, v must have an unbounded neighbour. ∎

2.2 Cycles, Paths, and Wheels

In this section, we first show that for any finite initial chip configuration on C_n or P_n with $n \geq 3$, every vertex is bounded, and hence the chip configurations will eventually be periodic (i.e. will be periodic after some pre-period of steps).

Lemma 4 *Let G be a graph with an initial chip configuration and u, v be adjacent vertices in G with $\deg(u) = 2$, $\deg(v) \in \{1, 2\}$. For any integer $t \geq 0$,*

$$|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| \leq \max\Big\{3, |c_t(u) - c_t(v)|\Big\}.$$

Proof: Let u, v be adjacent vertices in graph G where $\deg(u) = 2$ and $\deg(v) \in \{1, 2\}$. If $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| < 2$, then it is easy to see that $|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| \leq 3$. Suppose $c_t(u) - c_t(v) \geq 2$. As $c_t(u) > c_t(v)$, vertex u will send a chip to v and since $\deg(u) = 2$, vertex u sends or receives at most one additional chip. Since $\deg(v) \in \{1, 2\}$, vertex v sends or receives at most one additional chip. Then $c_{t+1}(u)$ will equal one of: $c_t(u)$, $c_t(u)-1$, $c_t(u)-2$; and $c_{t+1}(v)$ will equal one of $c_t(v)$, $c_t(v)+1$, $c_t(v)+2$. By considering the possible combinations, the result follows. If $c_t(v) - c_t(u) \geq 2$, a similar argument yields the result. ∎

Theorem 5 *For any finite initial chip configuration on P_n or C_n with $n \geq 3$, the chip configurations will eventually exhibit periodic behaviour.*

Proof: Let $M_0 = |\max_{v\in V(G)}\{c_0(v)\}|$ and $N_0 = |\min_{v\in V(G)}\{c_0(v)\}|$. By Lemma 4, for any adjacent vertices u and v,

$$|c_{t+1}(u)-c_{t+1}(v)| \leq \max\Big\{3, |c_t(u)-c_t(v)|\Big\} \leq \max\Big\{3, |c_0(u)-c_0(v)|\Big\} \leq \max\Big\{3, N_0+M_0\Big\}$$

for all integers $t \geq 0$. The result now follows from Lemma 2. ∎

We next show that for any finite initial chip configuration on a wheel graph, the configurations will eventually be periodic. Let W_n denote the wheel graph on n vertices.

Lemma 6 *For any finite initial chip configuration on W_n with $n \geq 4$, let u, v be adjacent vertices of degree 3. For any integer $t \geq 0$,*
(a) if $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| < 3$ then $|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| \leq 8$ and
(b) if $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \geq 3$ then $|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| \leq |c_t(u) - c_t(v)|$.

Proof: Let u, v be adjacent vertices of degree 3 and let w be the universal vertex in W_n. The difference between $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)|$ and $|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)|$ is at most 6; a change of 6 occurs only if one of u, v sends 3 chips to neighbours and the other receives 3 chips from neighbours. Thus, if for some $t \geq 0$, $|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \leq 2$, it follows that $|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| \leq 8$.

For some $t \geq 0$, suppose without loss of generality that $c_t(u) - c_t(v) \geq 3$. During the t^{th} firing, a chip is sent from u to v and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{t+1}(u) &\in \Big\{c_t(u)-3, c_t(u)-2, c_t(u)-1, c_t(u), c_t(u)+1\Big\}, \\ c_{t+1}(v) &\in \Big\{c_t(v)-1, c_t(v), c_t(v)+1, c_t(v)+2, c_t(v)+3\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $c_{t+1}(u) = c_t(u) + x$ and $c_{t+1}(v) = c_t(v) + y$ where $x \in \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 1\}$ and $y \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We observe that $y - x \leq 6$. If $|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| = c_{t+1}(v) - c_{t+1}(u)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y - x \leq 6 \leq 2\Big(c_t(u) - c_t(v)\Big) \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \Big(c_t(v) + y\Big) - \Big(c_t(u) + x\Big) \leq c_t(u) - c_t(v) \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \quad \Big|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)\Big| \leq \Big|c_t(u) - c_t(v)\Big|; \end{aligned}$$

otherwise,

$$|c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v)| = c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v) = c_t(u) - c_t(v) + x - y \leq |c_t(u) - c_t(v)|.$$

The last inequality results from the fact that $x - y \leq 0$. To see this, suppose $x > y$. Then $x \in \{0, 1\}$ and $c_t(w) \geq c_t(u) \geq c_t(v)$, which implies $c_{t+1}(v) \geq c_t(v) + 1$ and $y \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. This contradicts the assumption $x > y$. ∎

Theorem 7 *For any finite initial chip distribution on W_n with $n \geq 4$, the chip configurations will eventually exhibit periodic behaviour.*

Proof: As in Theorem 5 we will show that the number of chips on each vertex is bounded. Label the vertices of W_n as w, v_0, v_1, $\ldots$, v_{n-2} where $w \sim v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $v_i \sim v_{i+1} \pmod{n-1}$.

Assume W_n contains an unbounded vertex and observe that by Lemma 3, there must be an unbounded vertex of degree 3: let v_0 be an unbounded vertex of degree 3. Further, let

$$R_i = \begin{cases} \max\Big\{|c_0(v_i) - c_0(v_{i+1})|, 8\Big\} & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \max\Big\{|c_0(v_{n-2}) - c_0(v_0)|, 8\Big\} & \text{for } i = n-2 \end{cases}$$

and by Lemma 6, at any step t, the difference between the number of chips at v_i and v_{i+1} cannot exceed R_i. Certainly, for any $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if v_0 has M chips then the number of chips at each other vertex of degree 3 is at least

$$M - \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j. \tag{4}$$

We next show that the difference between the number of chips on w and v_0 can never exceed

$$R_w = \max\Big\{n + 2 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j, \max_{v_i \in V(W_n)} \Big|c_0(w) - c_0(v_i)\Big|\Big\} \tag{5}$$

For some step $t \geq 0$, suppose that $|c_t(w) - c_t(v_0)| > \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j$. Since by (4), $|c_t(v_0) - c_t(v_i)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-2\}$, this implies w has fewer (or greater) chips than all v_i for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$. During the next firing, w receives (or sends) $n-1$ chips; the difference between the number of chips on v_i and w has not increased, so $|c_{t+1}(w) - c_{t+1}(v_0)| \leq |c_t(w) - c_t(v_0)| \leq R_w$ and (5) holds.

Next, for some step $t \geq 0$, suppose that $|c_t(w) - c_t(v_0)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j$. In the worst case, w receives (or sends) $n-1$ chips and v_0 sends (or receives) one chip to (or from) each of its 3 neighbours. Thus,

$$|c_{t+1}(w) - c_{t+1}(v_0)| \leq (n-1) + 3 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j \leq R_w$$

and (5) holds. Therefore, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$|c_t(w) - c_t(v_0)| \leq R_w.$$

As a result, when v_0 accumulates M chips, we know that there are at least $M - R_w$ many chips on w. So when v_0 accumulates M chips, there must be at least

$$M + \Big[(n-2)\Big(M - \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} R_j\Big)\Big] + M - R_w$$

many chips on the vertices of W_n. Therefore by choosing M sufficiently large so that the the total of the minimum number of chips on each vertex exceeds the number of chips originally distributed we arrive at at contradiction. Therefore, every vertex is bounded above and it follows from Lemma 1 that for any finite initial configuration on W_n with $n \geq 4$, the configurations will eventually be periodic. ∎

2.3 Complete and Complete Bipartite Graphs

Lemma 8 *Let G be a graph with an initial configuration. For any $u, v \in V(G)$, if $N(u) = N(v)$ or $N[u] = N[v]$, then for every integer $t \geq 0$,*

$$|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \leq \max\Big\{|c_0(u) - c_0(v)|, 2\deg(u)\Big\}.$$

Proof: Let G be a graph with an initial configuration and let $u, v \in V(G)$ with $N(u) = N(v)$ or $N[u] = N[v]$; then $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$. Since we do not know whether u and v are adjacent, let $N = |N(u) \setminus \{v\}|$. Next, without loss of generality, suppose $c_t(u) \geq c_t(v)$ and let

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = |\{w \in N(u)\backslash\{v\} \ : \ c_t(w) > c_t(u)\}| \\ x_2 = |\{w \in N(u)\backslash\{v\} \ : \ c_t(x) < c_t(v)\}| \\ y_1 = |\{w \in N(u)\backslash\{v\} \ : \ c_t(v) = c_t(w) < c_t(v)\}| \\ y_2 = |\{w \in N(u)\backslash\{v\} \ : \ c_t(v) < c_t(w) = c_t(v)\}| \\ y_3 = |\{w \in N(u)\backslash\{v\} \ : \ c_t(v) < c_t(w) < c_t(v)\}| \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_{t+1}(u) - c_{t+1}(v) & = & \Big(c_t(u) + x_1 - x_2 - y_1 - y_3\Big) - \Big(c_t(v) + x_1 - x_2 + y_2 + y_3\Big) \\ & = & c_t(u) - c_t(v) - (y_1 + y_2 + 2y_3) \\ & \leq & |c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \end{array}$$

because $0 \leq y_1 + y_2 + 2y_3 \leq 2\deg(u)$. ∎

Corollary 9 follows immediately from the proof of Lemma 8, as if $c_t(u) = c_t(v)$ then $y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = 0$.

Corollary 9 *Let G be a graph with an initial configuration and let $u, v \in V(G)$ where $N(u) = N(v)$. If $c_t(u) = c_t(v)$ for some $t \geq 0$, then $c_r(u) = c_r(v)$ for all integers $r \geq t$.*

Theorem 10 *For any finite initial configuration of chips on K_n for $n \geq 2$, the configurations will eventually be periodic.*

Proof: Let $M = |\max_{v \in V(K_n)}\{c_0(v)\}|$ and $N = |\min_{v \in V(K_n)}\{c_0(v)\}|$. By Lemma 8 for all $u, v \in V(K_n)$ and all $t \geq 0$

$$|c_t(u) - c_t(v)| \leq \max\Big\{|c_0(u) - c_0(v)|, 2\deg(u)\Big\} \leq \max\{M + N, 2(n-1)\}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2. ∎

Theorem 11 *For any finite initial configuration of chips on $K_{m,n}$ for $m, n \geq 1$, the configurations will eventually be periodic.*

Proof: Let X and Y partition the vertices of $K_{m,n}$ where $|X| = m$, $|Y| = n$. For a contradiction, assume that there is an unbounded vertex $x \in X$. Then for any $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exists a *least* integer t such that $c_t(x) \geq M$. As the only vertices adjacent to x are in Y, at least one chip must be sent from vertices in Y to x during the $t-1^{th}$ firing: suppose x receives a chip from vertex $y \in Y$. Then as $c_{t-1}(x) \geq M - \deg(x)$, we find $c_{t-1}(y) \geq M - \deg(x) + 1$.

By Lemma 8,

$$c_{t-1}(x') \geq M - \deg(x) - \max\Big\{|c_0(x) - c_0(x')|, 2|Y|\Big\}$$

for each $x' \in X \backslash \{x\}$ and

$$c_{t-1}(y') \geq M - \deg(x) + 1 - \max\Big\{|c_0(y) - c_0(y')|, 2|X|\Big\}$$

for each $y' \in Y \backslash \{y\}$. As t is the least integer for which $c_t(u) \geq M$, the minimum total number of chips in the graph $K_{m,n}$ after the $t - 2^{th}$ firing must be at least

$$\Big(M - \deg(x)\Big) + \textstyle\sum_{x' \in X\backslash\{x\}} \Big(M - \deg(x) - \max\{|c_0(x) - c_0(x')|, 2|Y|\}\Big) +$$
$$\Big(M - \deg(x) + 1\Big) + \textstyle\sum_{y' \in Y\backslash\{y\}} \Big(M - \deg(x) + 1 - \max\{|c_0(y) - c_0(y')|, 2|X|\}\Big). \quad (6)$$

Let $N = \sum_{v \in V(G)} c_0(v)$. By choosing M sufficiently large so that the sum in (6) exceeds N, we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore x is bounded above. As x was chosen arbitrarily it follows that every vertex must be bounded above. The result now follows from Lemma 1. ∎

3 Period 2

3.1 Preliminary Results on Period 2

An edge $uv \in E(G)$ *shuttles after time* t if $c_t(u) > c_t(v)$ and $c_{t+1}(u) < c_{t+1}(v)$ for all $t \equiv 0 \mod 2$. If both u and v have a positive number of chips, we can envision a single chip shuttling between these two vertices in subsequent time-steps.

Theorem 12 *A finite chip configuration on graph G has period 1 or 2 if and only if for all $uv \in E(G)$ there exists t_0 such that, for all $t > t_0$, uv shuttles after time t or $c_t(u) = c_t(v)$.*

Proof: Let G be a graph with finite initial chip configuration c_0. If for all $uv \in E(G)$ there exists t_0 such that, for all $t > t_0$, uv shuttles after time t or $c_t(u) = c_t(v)$, then clearly the chip configuration has period at most 2 after some pre-period of steps..

Suppose chip configuration c_0 has period 1 or 2. Assume, without loss of generality, the pre-period has length 0. If c_0 has period 1, then $c_t(u) = c_t(v)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Suppose c_0 has period 2.

Assume there exists an edge u_0u_1 where $c_0(u_0) > c_0(u_1)$ and $c_1(u_0) \geq c_1(u_1)$. As the configuration has period 2, $c_0(u_0) = c_2(u_0)$. This implies that u_1 never sends a chip to u_0. Since $c_0(u_1) = c_2(u_2)$ there must exist an edge u_1u_2 such that $c_1(u_2) < c_2(u_1)$ and $c_0(u_2) \leq c_0(u_1)$.

Repeating this argument for u_2 implies there exists a closed walk $u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_0$ such that $c_0(u_0) > c_0(u_1) \geq c_0(u_2) \geq c_0(u_3) \cdots \geq c_0(u_0)$, a contradiction. ∎

Let G be a graph and c_i be a configuration. Let $P_i(v) = |\{x : x \sim v \text{ and } c_i(x) < c_i(v)\}|$, $Q_i(v) = |\{x : x \sim v \text{ and } c_i(x) < c_i(v)\}|$ and $C_i(v) = P_i(v) - Q_i(v)$. It follows that $c_{i+1} = c_i(v) + C_i(v)$. We say that G has *property plus* with respect to c_i if for all $vu \in E(G)$ such that $deg(u) > deg(v)$, then $c_i(v) + C_i(v) > c_i(u) + C_i(u)$; and for all $vu \in E(G)$ such that $deg(v) = deg(u)$, then $c_i(v) + C_i(v) = c_i(u) + C_i(u)$.

Theorem 13 *Let G be a finite graph and c_i a configuration. The graph G has property plus with respect to c_i if and only if $c_i = c_{i+2}$.*

Proof: First, suppose G has property plus and let u, v be adjacent vertices where $\deg(u) > \deg(v)$. Then $c_{i+1}(u) = \deg(u) + P(u) - Q(u)$ and $c_{i+1}(v) = \deg(v) + P(v) - Q(v)$. By property plus it follows that $c_{i+1}(u) < c_{i+1}(v)$. Let u, v be any adjacent vertices where $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$. Then $c_i(u) = c_i(v)$ and $c_{i+1}(u) = \deg(u) + P(u) - Q(u) = \deg(v) + P(v) - Q(v) = c_{i+1}(v)$. This implies that for all neighbours z_1 where $c_{i+1}(z_1) < c_{i+1}(u)$ that $c_{i+1}(z_1) > c_{i+1}(u)$. Similarly, for all neighbours z_2 where $c_{i+1}(z_2) > c_{i+1}(u)$ that $c_1(z_2) < c_1(u)$. Thus, $c_{i+2}(u) = c_i(u)$ for all $u \in V(G)$, moreover, every edge uz_1 and uz_2 shuttles.

Next, suppose G does not have property plus. Then either

(1) there exist adjacent vertices u, v where $\deg(u) > \deg(v)$ but $\deg(u) + P(u) - Q(u) \geq \deg(v) + P(v) - Q(v)$; or

(2) there exist adjacent vertices u, v where $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$ but $\deg(u) + P(u) - Q(u) > \deg(v) + P(v) - Q(v)$.

In case (1), we know that $c_{i+1}(u) = \deg(u) + P(u) - (\deg(u) - P(u)) = 2P(u)$ and $c_{i+1}(v) = \deg(v) + P(v) - (\deg(v) - P(v)) = 2P(v)$. However this implies $c_{i+1}(u) \geq c_{i+1}(v)$. This is a violation of Theorem 12. Case (2) is similar and has therefore been omitted. ∎

Property plus describes the necessary and sufficient conditions for the process to enter a cycle with period 2. And so any graph that has property plus with respect to

c_0 with enter a cycle with period 2 with no pre-period. Let G be a finite graph. A *full-degree initial chip configuration* is an initial configuration of G where each vertex in a graph has degree-many chips.

Corollary 14 *Let $G \neq K_n$ be a graph. The full-degree initial chip configuration is periodic with period 2 and pre-period 0 if and only if G has property plus.*

It is easy to checked that G has property plus with respect to a full-degree chip configuration.

We next prove that given a full-degree initial configuration, chip configurations on paths are eventually periodic with period 2. We illustrate the first five chip configurations in Figure 3. One can easily observe the movement of chips propagates toward centre of the path.



Figure 3: Configurations on a path P_n.

Lemma 15 *For $n \geq 3$, suppose P_n has a full-degree initial configuration. Then the configurations are periodic with period 2 beginning with $c_{\lfloor (n-3)/2 \rfloor}$.*

Proof: Consider P_n for $n \geq 3$ with a full-degree initial configuration and label the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ where $v_i \sim v_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Assume $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Then

$$c_0(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i < 2 \cdot 0 + 2 \text{ or } i > n - (2 \cdot 0 + 1) \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i < 2 \cdot 0 + 2 \text{ or } i > n - (2 \cdot 0 + 1) \\ 2 & \text{if } 2 \cdot 0 + 2 \leq i \leq n - (2 \cdot 0 + 1) \end{cases}$$

and observe that there are no vertices for which $c_0(v_i) = 3$. It is easy, but tedious, to see that for each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n-5}{4}\}$,

$$c_{2k}(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i < 2k+2 \text{ or } i > n-(2k+1) \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i < 2k+2 \text{ or } i > n-(2k+1) \\ 2 & \text{if } 2k+2 \leq i \leq n-(2k+1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_{2k+1}(v_i) = \begin{cases} 2 & i = 1 \text{ or } i = n \text{ or } 2k+3 \leq i \leq n-(2k+2) \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i < 2k+3 \text{ or } i > n-(2k+2) \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i < 2k+3 \text{ or } i > n-(2k+2) \text{ (and } i \neq 1, n). \end{cases}$$

Thus, we may now consider $c_{2k+1}(v_i)$ for $k = \frac{n-5}{4}$:

$$c_{(n-3)/2}(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i < \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ or } i > \frac{n+1}{2} \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i < \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ or } i > \frac{n+1}{2} \\ 2 & \text{if } \frac{n+1}{2} \leq i \leq \frac{n+1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $v_{(n+1)/2}$ will send a chip to each neighbour. Then

$$c_{(n-1)/2}(v_i) = \begin{cases} 2 & i = 1 \text{ or } i = n \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i < \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ or } i > \frac{n+1}{2} \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i < \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ or } i > \frac{n+1}{2} \text{ (and } i \neq 1, n) \\ 0 & \text{if } i = \frac{n+1}{2} \end{cases}$$

and $c_{(n-3)/2}(v_i) = c_{(n+1)/2}(v_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The cases for $n \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod 4$ can be completed in a similar manner and have therefore been omitted. ∎

Theorem 16 *On a complete graph K_n for $\alpha, \beta, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq d \leq n$, if d of the vertices are initially assigned α-many chips and the remaining $n-d$ vertices are initially assigned β-many chips, then the configurations will eventually be periodic with period 1 or 2.*

Proof: Let $A \subseteq V(K_n)$ be the set of vertices initially with α chips. Let $B = V(K_n) \backslash A$ be the set of vertices initially with β chips. Note $d = |A|$.

As K_n is a complete graph, every vertex will have the same neighbourhood. By Corollary 9, at each step, all vertices of A have the same number of chips and at each step, all vertices of B have the same number of chips. If $\alpha = \beta$ or $d = n$, then every vertex has α-many chips, so K_n has period 1. Therefore, we suppose without loss of generality, that $\alpha > \beta$ with $d < n$.

Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $c_0(a) > c_0(b)$. For $i \geq 0$ if $c_i(a) > c_i(b)$, then $c_{i+1}(a) = c_i(a) - (n-d)$ and $c_{i+1}(b) = c_i(b) + d$. So during steps where there are more chips on a than there are on b, the number of chips on a will decrease and the number of chips on b will increase. So eventually, for some least integer t, $c_t(a) \leq c_t(b)$.

If $c_t(a) = c_t(b)$ then every vertex will have the same number of chips at this step and the configuration is now periodic with period 1.

If $c_t(a) < c_t(b)$ then since t is the least integer for which $c_t(a) \leq c_t(b)$ we know that $c_{t-1}(a) > c_{t-1}(b)$. Therefore $c_t(a) = c_{t-1}(a) - (n-d)$ and $c_t(b) = c_{t-1}(b) + d$. And since $c_t(a) < c_t(b)$, we find

$$c_{t+1}(a) = c_t(a) + (n-d) = c_{t-1}(a) - (n-d) + (n-d) = c_{t-1}(a)$$

and

$$c_{t+1}(b) = c_t(b) - d = c_{t-1}(b) + d - d = c_{t-1}(b).$$

So we have that $c_{t-1}(a) = c_{t+1}(a)$ and $c_{t-1}(b) = c_{t+1}(b)$, so clearly the configurations are now periodic with period 1 or 2. ∎

Note that this result will also hold for complete bipartite graphs where all vertices in one partite set initially receive β chips and the vertices in the other partite set receive α chips.

3.2 Periodicity of Stars

In this subsection, we consider star graphs S_n on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Denote the centre vertex by v and the set of leaves by L. For any finite initial configuration of chips, let L_M and L_m denote the set of leaves with the maximum and minimum number of chips in the initial configuration, respectively.

By Lemma 8 $c_t(\ell_1) = c_t(\ell_2)$ for all ℓ_1, ℓ_2 in L_M (L_m) and all $t \geq 0$. As such, let ℓ_M denote any vertex in L_M and, ℓ_m denote any vertex in L_m.

We observe that $c_t(\ell_M) \geq c_t(\ell)$ and $c_t(\ell_m) \leq c_t(\ell)$ for all $t \geq 0$ for any $\ell \in L$. Let

$$d_t = \max_{\forall x,y \in L} |c_t(x) - c_t(y)|,$$

the maximum difference between the number of chips at any two leaves at step t.

Lemma 17 *Consider an initial chip configuration on S_n. If for some $t \geq 0$*
(i) $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$ and $c_t(v) - c_t(\ell_M) \leq \deg(v) - d_t$ or
(ii) $c_t(v) < c_t(\ell_m)$ and $c_t(\ell_m) - c_t(v) \leq \deg(v) - d_t$,
then the chip configurations are periodic with period 2.

Proof: Let c_0 be an finite initial chip configuration on S_n. Since the graph under consideration is a star with centre v, note that $c_t(\ell_M) \geq c_t(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in L$ and $t \geq 0$. Similarly, $c_t(\ell_m) \leq c_t(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in L$ and $t \geq 0$.

(i) For some $t \geq 0$, suppose that $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$ and $c_t(v) - c_t(\ell_M) \leq \deg(v) - d_t$.

Observe that $c_t(\ell_M) = c_t(\ell_m) + d_t$; therefore,

$$c_t(v) - c_t(\ell_m) \leq \deg(v). \tag{7}$$

As $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$,

$$c_{t+1}(v) = c_t(v) - \deg(v) \tag{8}$$

and $c_{t+1}(\ell) = c_t(\ell) + 1$ for $\ell \in L$. In particular,

$$c_{t+1}(\ell_m) = c_t(\ell_m) + 1. \tag{9}$$

Combining (7)-(9), we conclude $c_{t+1}(v) < c_{t+1}(\ell_m)$, which implies $c_{t+2}(v) = c_{t+1}(v)+|L|$ and $c_{t+2}(\ell) = c_{t+1}(\ell) - 1$. I.e., each leaf sends a chip to v. Then for every vertex $u \in V(S_n)$, $c_t(u) = c_{t+2}(u)$. Therefore, if for some $t \geq 0$, $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$ and $c_t(v) - c_t(\ell_M) \leq \deg(v) - d_t$, then the configurations have period 2.

The argument for (ii) is similar and has therefore been omitted. ∎

Lemma 18 *For any finite initial configuration of chips on S_n, if for some $t \geq 0$*

$$c_t(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$$

then for every integer $r \geq t$,

$$c_r(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_r(v) \leq c_r(\ell_M) + \deg(v).$$

Proof: Let c_0 be an initial chip configuration on S_n. Suppose that for some $t \geq 0$, $c_t(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$.

First, suppose $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$. Then $c_{t+1}(v) = c_t(v) - \deg(v)$ and $c_{t+1}(\ell) = c_t(\ell) + 1$ for all $\ell \in L$. I.e., each leaf sends a chip to v. Since $c_t(v) - 1 \geq c_t(\ell_M) \geq c_t(\ell_m)$, we find $c_t(v) - \deg(v) \geq c_t(\ell_m) + 1 - \deg(v)$. Then $c_{t+1}(v) \geq c_{t+1}(\ell_m) - \deg(v)$ and clearly $c_{t+1}(v) \leq c_{t+1}(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. By induction, for every integer $r \geq t$, $c_r(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_r(v) \leq c_r(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. The argument for the case where $c_t(v) < c_t(\ell_m)$ is similar to the above argument and has therefore been omitted.

Second, suppose $c_t(v) = c_t(\ell_M)$. Then $c_{t+1}(v) \geq c_t(v) - (|L| - 1)$ since there exists some $\ell \in L$ such that $c_t(\ell) > c_t(v)$.

If $c_t(\ell_m) = c_t(v)$, then every vertex has the same number of chips and the result follows; therefore suppose that $c_t(\ell_m) < c_t(v)$. Then $c_{t+1}(\ell_m) = c_t(\ell_m) + 1$ and

$$c_{t+1}(\ell_m) - c_{t+1}(v) \leq c_t(\ell_m) + 1 - (c_t(v) - (\deg(v) - 1)) = c_t(\ell_m) - c_t(v) - \deg(v).$$

Observe that $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_m)$ implies $c_{t+1}(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_{t+1}(v)$ and clearly we also have $c_{t+1}(v) \leq c_{t+1}(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. By induction, for every integer $r \geq t$, $c_r(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_r(v) \leq c_r(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. The argument for the case where $c_t(v) = c_t(\ell_m)$ (instead of $c_t(v) = c_t(l_M)$) is similar to the above argument and has therefore been omitted.

Third, suppose $c_t(\ell_m) < c_t(v) < c_t(\ell_M)$. Therefore $|c_t(v) - c_{t+1}(v)| \leq deg(v)$, $c_{t+1}(\ell_m) = c_t(\ell_m)+1$ and $c_{t+1}(\ell_M) = c_t(\ell_M)-1$ Therefore, $c_{t+1}(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_{t+1}(v) \leq c_{t+1}(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. By induction, for every integer $r \geq t$, $c_r(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_r(v) \leq c_r(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. ∎

Lemma 19 *For any finite initial chip configuration on S_n, there exists some integer $t \geq 0$ such that for all integers $r \geq t$, $d_r < \deg(v)$.*

Proof: Observe that there must exist some positive integer t such that $c_t(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$: if the number of chips on v is greater than the number of chips on ℓ_M, v will lose $\deg(v)$ many chips and ℓ_M will gain 1 chip on every step until v has no more chips than ℓ_M (and similarly if v has fewer chips than ℓ_m). Therefore, by Lemma 18 there exists some $t \geq 0$ such that for all integers $r \geq t$, $c_r(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_r(v) \leq c_r(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. Observe that from the proof of Lemma 8, $d_{t+1} \leq d_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

First, suppose $d_t \geq \deg(v)$ and $c_t(\ell_m) \leq c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M)$ where at least one of the inequalities is strict. Then clearly either v will send a chip to ℓ_m, or ℓ_M will send a chip to v or both; thus $d_{t+1} < d_t$ in this case.

Second, suppose that $d_t \geq \deg(v)$ and $c_t(\ell_M) < c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$. Then clearly $d_{t+1} = d_t$, since v sends $\deg(v)$ chips. However, using Lemma 18, we find $c_{t+1}(\ell_m) \leq c_{t+1}(v) < c_{t+1}(\ell_M)$. Then either ℓ_m will receive a chip from v or ℓ_M will send a chip to v or both; thus $d_{t+2} < d_{t+1} = d_t$ in this case. The case where $d_t \geq \deg(v)$ and $c_t(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_t(v) < c_t(\ell_m)$ is similar.

Therefore, there must exist some integer $t \geq 0$ such that for every integer $r \geq t$, $d_r < \deg(v)$. ∎

Theorem 20 *For any finite initial chip configuration on S_n, the chip configurations will eventually be periodic with period 1 or period 2.*

Proof: As in the proof of Lemma 19, there exists some integer $t \geq 0$ such that $d_r < \deg(v)$ and

$$c_r(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_r(v) \leq c_r(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$$

for all integers $r \geq t$. If, at step t, the configurations are already periodic with period 1 or 2 then we are done. So suppose that the configurations are not periodic with period 1 or 2. We state the conditions for configurations to become periodic with period 1 or 2 as follows:

Condition 1: $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$ and $c_t(v) - c_t(\ell_M) \leq \deg(v) - d_t$.

Condition 2: $c_t(\ell_m) > c_t(v)$ and $c_t(\ell_m) - c_t(v) \leq \deg(v) - d_t$.

Condition 3: $c_t(v) = c_t(\ell_m) = c_t(\ell_M)$.

Clearly if Condition 3 is achieved, then the configurations have become periodic with period 1; and if Condition 1 or 2 is achieved, then by Lemma 17 the configurations have become periodic with period 2. Therefore, we assume that $d_t < \deg(v)$ and $c_t(\ell_m) - \deg(v) \leq c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M) + \deg(v)$, but none of the three conditions hold. We will show that d_t will decrease within the next two steps.

Suppose that $c_t(\ell_m) \leq c_t(v) \leq c_t(\ell_M)$ where at least one of the inequalities is strict (else we have Condition 3). Then $d_{t+1} < d_t$. If $c_t(v) > c_t(\ell_M)$ then we must have in the

next step that $c_{t+1}(\ell_m) \leq c_{t+1}(v) \leq c_{t+1}(\ell_M)$ and so either one of the conditions is met or we will have that $d_{t+2} < d_{t+1} = d_t$.

Repeating this argument for successively smaller values of d_r for integers $r \geq t$ we will see that one of the conditions must be met before $d_r = 0$ for some r, or if $d_r = 0$ is reached, then by Lemma 18 one of the conditions must certainly hold. ∎

3.3 Uni-chip configurations

A finite chip configuration is *uni-chip* if there exists $v \in V(G)$ such that $c_0(v) = 1$ and for all vertices $x \neq v$ $c_0(x) = 0$. We use $C(v)$ to denote such a configuration. We show that if G is bipartite with a uni-chip configuration, then the process becomes periodic with period 2 after at most $rad(G) - 1$ steps.

Let $N_i(v)$ be the set of vertices at distance i from v. For $x \in N_i(v)$ set $deg^+(x) = |N(x) \cap N_{i-1}(v)|$ and $deg^-(x) = |N(x) \cap N_{i+1}(v)|$.

Theorem 21 *Let G be a connected bipartite graph, $v \in V(G)$, and $c_0 = C(v)$. If t is even, then $c_t(v) = 1$. Otherwise $c_t(v) = 1 - deg(v)$. For all $x \in N_i$*

$$c_t(x) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } t < i; \\ deg^+(x), \text{ if } t \geq i \text{ and } t \equiv 0 \bmod (2); \\ -deg^-(x), \text{ if } t \geq i \text{ and } t \equiv 1 \bmod (2). \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $C(v)$ be a uni-chip configuration. Note that for a bipartite graph, $deg(x) = deg^+(x) + deg^-(x)$. We proceed by induction on t, noting that our claim is true for $t = 0$ by the definition of $C(v)$. Suppose $t = n + 1$ for some $n \geq 0$.

First consider v. If n is even then $c_n(v) = 1$ and, for $x \in N(v)$, $c_t(x) \leq 0$ ($= 0$ if $n = 0$ and $-deg^-(x)$ otherwise) and so $c_{n+1} = 1 - deg(v)$. If n is odd then $c_n(v) = 1 - deg(v) \leq 0$ and, for $x \in N(v)$, $c_n(x) = deg^+(x) > 0$. Thus $c_{n+1}(v) = 1$.

Consider $x_i \in N_i(v)$, $i > 0$. If $t < i$, then $c_t(x_i) = 0$, as claimed. Assume $t \geq i$. First, suppose $t \equiv 0 \bmod (2)$. Then $c_n(x_i) = -deg^-(x_i) < 0$. Consider $y \sim x_i$. If $y \in N_{i-1}$, then $c_n(y) = deg^+(y) > 0$. If $y \in N_{i+1}$ and $i + 1 < t$, then $c_n(y) = deg^+(y)$. If $y \in N_{i+1}$ and $i + 1 = t$, then $c_n(y) = 0$. In all cases $c_n(y) \geq 0$. Thus $c_{n+1}(x_i) = -deg^-(x_i) + deg(x_i) = deg^+(x_i)$.

The argument is similar for $t \equiv 1 \bmod (2)$. ∎

Table 3.3 gives the first few configurations for a uni-chip configuration, $C(v)$, on a bipartite graph where v has eccentricity, $e(v)$, at least 5.

After $t = e(v)$ steps, the arguments for all the cases still hold, except no vertices, other than possibly v have 0 chips. This proves the following.

Corollary 22 *Let G be a connected bipartite graph and $G(v)$ be a uni-chip configuration. The configurations become periodic, with period 2, after $e(v) - 1$ steps.*

t	v	$x_1 \in N_1$	$x_2 \in N_2$	$x_3 \in N_3$	$x_4 \in N_4$	$x_5 \in N_5$
0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	$1 - deg(v)$	1	0	0	0	0
2	1	$1 - deg(x_1)$	$deg^+(x_2)$	0	0	0
3	$1 - deg(v)$	1	$-deg^-(x_2)$	$deg^+(x_3)$	0	0
4	1	$1 - deg(x_1)$	$deg^+(x_2)$	$deg^-(x_3)$	$deg^+(x_4)$	0

Table 1: Configurations for the first 4 time steps.

We note that Corollary 22 does not hold in general. There exist examples on as few as 5 vertices of non-bipartite graphs with a uni-chip configuration, $C(v)$, in which the pre-period exceeds $e(v) - 1$.

4 Questions

Although we have determined that configurations are eventually periodic for some classes of graphs, we restate and comment on Questions 1, 2 and Conjecture 1.

Question 1 *Let G be a finite graph with an initial configuration. Do the chip configurations on G eventually exhibit periodic behaviour?*

Conjecture 1 *Let G be a finite graph with an initial configuration. The chip configurations on G eventually exhibit periodic behaviour with period 1 or 2.*

Although we have answered Question 1 affirmatively for paths, cycles, wheels, stars, complete graphs, and complete bipartite graphs, the question remains open for general graphs. We also note that we may answer Question 1 affirmatively for caterpillars. However, due to the length of the proof, this result has been omitted. Conjecture 1 was fully resolved for stars and some partial results were provided in Section 3, but the conjecture remains open. By Theorem 13 it is enough to show that for every graph and every initial chip configuration, the graph eventually attains property plus.

Question 2 *Given a finite graph G, what is the fewest total number of chips required in an initial configuration so that at no step will a vertex ever have a negative number of chips?*

Arguably, a first approach to Question 2 may be to consider full-degree initial configurations (i.e. chip configurations where the total number of chips is $2|E(G)|$ for a graph G). However, the graph shown in Figure 2 illustrates that $2|E(G)|$-many chips on graph G is not necessarily sufficient to ensure no vertex will ever have a negative number of chips. Certainly, if Question 2 is answered, say, with K chips then any initial chip configuration using K chips would be periodic (since at every step, each vertex would

have between 0 and K chips. However, having an excess of $|E|$-many chips on graph G may result in some initial configurations yielding no steps with negative chips on vertices, but other initial configurations yielding steps with negative chips on vertices. For example, given 5 chips distributed on the vertices of P_4, some initial distributions will result in no configurations ever having a vertex with a negative number of chips, but others will not. This leads to another interesting question.

Question 3 *Given k chips to be distributed on vertices of a graph G (and assuming eventual periodicity), how many different configurations will result in no vertex ever having a negative number of chips?*

In the case that G is a finite bipartite graph in which each vertex begins with 0 chips, except for a single vertex, v, that begins with 1 chips, we have shown that the process is periodic with period 2 after a pre-period of at most $e(v)-1$ steps. In periodic configurations, each vertex, other than v, either always has value 0 or oscillates between having positive value and negative value. This leads to the following two questions.

Question 4 *Let G be a graph with a finite initial chip configuration. Should the configurations eventually exhibit periodic behaviour, how many steps will it take for a given initial configuration to become periodic?*

Question 5 *Let G be a finite graph with an initial configuration c_0 that eventually exhibits periodic behaviour. Let $N = \sum_{v \in V(G)} c_0$. How does N/n compare to the value at each vertex when the process exhibits periodic behaviour? I.e., do the values at each chip eventually even out?*

References

[1] J. Bitar, E. Goles, Parallel chip firing games on graphs *Theoretical Computer Science* **92** (1992) 291–300.

[2] A. Björner, L. Lovász, P.W. Shor, Chip-firing games on graphs *European Journal of Combinatorics* **12**(4) (1991) 283–291.

[3] D. W. Cranston, D. B. West, A guide to the discharging method *arXiv:1306.4434 [math.CO]*

[4] L. Dall'Asta, Exact solution of the one-dimensional deterministic fixed-energy sandpile *Physical Review Letters* **96** (2006).

[5] S. Gaspers, M.E. Messinger, R.J. Nowakowski, P. Prałat, Clean the graph before you draw it! *Information Processing Letters* **109** (2009) 463–467.

[6] S. Gaspers M.E. Messinger, R.J. Nowakowski, P. Prałat, Parallel cleaning of a network with brushes *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **158** (2010) 467–478.

[7] P.M. Kayll, D. Perkins, A chip-firing variation and a new proof of Cayley's formula, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science* **15**:1 (2013) 121-132.

[8] M.A. Kiwi, R. Ndoundam, M. Tchuente, E. Goles, No polynomial bound for the period of the parallel chip firing game on graphs *Theoretical Computer Science* **136** (1994) 527-532.

[9] P.M. Kominers, S.D. Kominers, A constant bound for the periods of parallel chip-firing games with many chips *Archiv der Mathematik* **95**(1) (2010) 9–13.

[10] T.-Y. Jiang, On the period lengths of the parallel chip-firing game, *arXiv:1003.0943v2 [math.CO].*

[11] L. Levine, Parallel chip-firing on the complete graph: devil's staircase and Poincaré rotation number *Ergodic Theorey and Dynamical Systems* **31** (2011) 891–910.

[12] M.E. Messinger, R.J. Nowakowski, P. Prałat, Cleaning a network with brushes *Theoretical Computer Science* **399** (2008) 191–205.